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**INTRODUCTION**

Dihydrogen is a promising fuel which can be used to meet the rapidly increasing global energy demands. It has emerged as a sustainable alternative for fossil fuel resources as upon combustion only water is generated. In general, platinum-based electrodes are efficient catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). However, the reserves and price of this noble metal might restrict its application in the future. Hydrogenases are enzymes that efficiently catalyze the transformation between protons and dihydrogen. The abundantly available, nonexpensive first row transition metals nickel and iron are present in the catalytic center of these hydrogenases. The coordination of a thiolate sulfur of cysteine to the metal ions in the active site of hydrogenases is likely to play an important role in the activity of the enzyme. Based on this knowledge, chemists worldwide focus their research efforts on mimicking the structure of the active site of hydrogenases, and either two thiolate bridges or a single thiolate bridge are often incorporated in the biomimetic systems. In the search for efficient electrocatalysts for the proton reduction reaction, researchers do not limit their investigations to iron and nickel compounds but have turned their attention to cobalt, ruthenium, and manganese. Although these systems, such as nickel–bis(diphosphane) catalysts do not mimic the hydrogenase active site, generally, these functional models show electrocatalytic activity better than that of the traditional structural models. Recently, transition metal complexes bearing pyridine-functionalized carbone ligands have been reported as catalysts for HER. However, only a few electrochemical studies have been reported for sulfur-functionalized metal–carbone complexes. Herein, we report the synthesis of the dinuclear complexes [Ni₂Cl₂(BnC₃S)₂] [1], [Ni₂Cl₂(BnC₃S)₂] [2], [Ni₂(PyC₃S)₂]Br₂ [3]Br₂, and [Ni₂(PyC₃S)₂]Br₂ [4]Br₂ bearing four different thiolate-functionalized N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands were synthesized, and their structures have been determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The four ligands differ by the alkyl chain length between the thiolate group and the benzimidazole nitrogen (two –C₃H₇ or three –C₃H₉ carbon atoms) and the second functionality at the NHC being a benzyl (Bn) or a pyridylmethyl (Py) group. The nickel(II) ions are coordinated to the NHC carbon atom and the pendent thiolate group, which bridges to the second nickel(II) ion creating the dinuclear structure. Additionally, in compounds [1] and [2], the fourth coordination position of the square-planar Ni(II) centers is occupied by the halide ions, whereas in [3] and [4], the additional pendant pyridylmethyl groups complete the coordination spheres of the nickel ions. The electrochemical properties of the four complexes were studied using cyclic voltammetry and controlled-potential coulometry methods. The thiolate-functionalized carbene complexes [1] and [2] appear to be poor electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction; the complexes [3]Br₂ and [4]Br₂, bearing an extra pyridylmethyl group, show higher catalytic activity in proton reduction, indicating that the pyridine group plays an important role in the catalytic cycle.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The benzimidazolium precursor salts [HBnC₃Br]Br (A) and [HBnC₃Br]Br (B), [HBnC₃Br]Ac (C) and [HBnC₃Br]Ac (D) were synthesized following literature methods. The benzimidazolium salts [HPyC₃Br]Br (E) and [HPyC₃Br]Br (F) were synthesized based on literature methods with small modifications. The dimeric nickel compounds [Ni₂Cl₂(BnC₃S)₂] [1], [Ni₂Cl₂(BnC₃S)₂] [2], [Ni₂(PyC₃S)₂]Br₂ [3]Br₂, and [Ni₂(PyC₃S)₂]Br₂ [4]Br₂ were obtained from a melt of nickel...
acetate with the respective acyl-protected ligand precursor (C, D, G, and H) in tetrabutylammonium bromide under vacuum at 120 °C (see Scheme 1), for these types of complexes, the procedure using a melt proved to give good results.\textsuperscript{26,29} The chloride ions in compounds [1] and [2] are derived from the solvent dichloromethane during the recrystallization process.

Deprotonation of the benzimidazolium salt and in situ hydrolysis of the thioester group occur during the reaction with the nickel salt with the formation and evaporation of acetic acid. The four complexes were obtained as red powders. The absence of an \textsuperscript{1}H NMR signal for the acidic NCHN proton (at \textasciitilde 10 ppm) confirmed formation of the desired Ni\textsuperscript{II} carbene bond. The low-spin state of the Ni\textsuperscript{II} ions in compounds [1], [2], and [4]Br\textsubscript{2} is evidenced by their diamagnetic \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra. In contrast, the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of compound [3]Br\textsubscript{2} in DMSO-\textit{d}_\textsubscript{6} shows rather broad signals (Figure S1). Low-temperature NMR spectra could not be obtained as the solvent DMSO-\textit{d}_\textsubscript{6} is not suitable. ESI-MS analysis of the four compounds shows the presence of dicatonic fragment ions [M \textendash 2X]\textsuperscript{2+} and [M \textendash X].\textsuperscript{28,29} Despite the fact that recrystallized samples of the Ni compounds were dried in vacuo before elemental analysis was performed, the analytical data still show the presence of the solvents that were used in recrystallization.

Single crystals of [1] and [2] suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from slow evaporation of dichloromethane solutions of the compounds. Solutions of the complexes [3]Br\textsubscript{2} and [4]Br\textsubscript{2} are not stable in air; the compounds slowly decompose as apparent from the color change (Figure S2). Single crystals of these two complexes suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of degassed methanol solutions under a flow of argon. The crystallographic data of compounds [1], [2], [3]Br\textsubscript{2}, and [4]Br\textsubscript{2} are collected in Table S1 in the Supporting Information; selected bonds lengths and angles are given in Table 1. Projections of the structures are shown in Figure 1.

In the structures of compounds [1] and [2], each Ni center is coordinated by the bidentate ligand via the NH4 carbon atom and the thiolate sulfur of the pendant arm as well as one chloride ion; the coordination sphere of the Ni\textsuperscript{II} ions is completed by a bridging thiolate donor of a second monomeric unit, resulting in the observed dinuclear structure. Thus, the coordination geometry of the nickel ions in compounds [1] and [2] can be described as slightly distorted square planar (\( \tau_4 = \sim 0.16 \) for [1], \( \tau_4 = \sim 0.13 \) for [2]; \( \tau_4 = 0 \) for an ideal square-planar geometry).\textsuperscript{30}

The nickel ions in [3]Br\textsubscript{2} and [4]Br\textsubscript{2} are coordinated by the tridentate ligand, bound via the pyridyl nitrogen, the NH4 carbon and thiolate sulfur; the coordination sphere of the nickel centers is completed by a bridging thiolate sulfur of a second nickel center, thus forming the dinuclear complexes. The square-planar geometry of the nickel centers in [3]\textsuperscript{2+} and [4]\textsuperscript{2+} is even less distorted than those in [1] and [2] as evidenced by the smaller \( \tau_4 \) values (\( \tau_4 = -0.10 \) for [3]\textsuperscript{2+}, \( \tau_4 = -0.07 \) for [4]\textsuperscript{2+}).

A crystal structure of compound [1] with two bromium ions instead of chlorides has been reported in an orthorhombic symmetry with space group P2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}.\textsuperscript{31} whereas compound [1] crystallized in a triclinic space group. Otherwise, the environment of the nickel centers is highly similar. The bond distances and angles in [1] are comparable with those in the published structure,\textsuperscript{31} with the logical exception that the Ni–Cl bond is shorter than the Ni–Br distance. The complexes [2], [3]Br\textsubscript{2}, and [4]Br\textsubscript{2} present similar Ni–C and Ni–S bond lengths. The

---

**Table 1. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) of Compounds [1], [2], [3]Br\textsubscript{2}, and [4]Br\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{34}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–S</td>
<td>2.1736(9)</td>
<td>2.1743(7)</td>
<td>2.153(3)</td>
<td>2.1829(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–S'</td>
<td>2.2312(9)</td>
<td>2.2227(6)</td>
<td>2.227(4)</td>
<td>2.2084(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–C</td>
<td>1.883(4)</td>
<td>1.887(2)</td>
<td>1.889(9)</td>
<td>1.882(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–Cl</td>
<td>2.1943(9)</td>
<td>2.2046(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.930(8)</td>
<td>1.922(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni1–Ni2</td>
<td>3.0847(8)</td>
<td>3.0413(6)</td>
<td>2.960(4)</td>
<td>3.068(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Ni1–S'</td>
<td>77.77(4)</td>
<td>78.15(2)</td>
<td>79.22(14)</td>
<td>79.92(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Ni1–C</td>
<td>90.72(11)</td>
<td>94.00(7)</td>
<td>94.8(3)</td>
<td>97.34(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Ni1–Cl</td>
<td>165.60(11)</td>
<td>169.67(7)</td>
<td>165.4(4)</td>
<td>169.03(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Ni1–Cl</td>
<td>172.34(4)</td>
<td>172.32(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Ni1–Cl</td>
<td>96.03(4)</td>
<td>96.61(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–Ni1–Cl</td>
<td>96.06(11)</td>
<td>91.84(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Ni1–N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163.5(3)</td>
<td>167.46(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Ni1–N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96.1(3)</td>
<td>95.13(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–Ni1–N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93.2(4)</td>
<td>89.6(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>44.5(3)</td>
<td>66.9(2)</td>
<td>10.6(5)</td>
<td>24.9(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>128.24(5)</td>
<td>125.99(4)</td>
<td>119.54(7)</td>
<td>130.44(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \textit{S} = S1 ([1] and [2]), S311 ([3]Br\textsubscript{2} and [4]Br\textsubscript{2}); S' = S2 ([1] and [2]), S312 ([3]Br\textsubscript{2} and [4]Br\textsubscript{2}); C = C91 ([1]), C101 ([2]), C121 ([3]Br\textsubscript{2} and [4]Br\textsubscript{2}); Cl = Cl1 ([1] and [2]); N = N221 ([3]Br\textsubscript{2} and [4]Br\textsubscript{2}). \)
Ni–C bond lengths in the four complexes are all around 1.89 Å, and the Ni–S bond lengths within the chelating ligand are around 2.15–2.18 Å. The Ni–S bond distances to the thiolate sulfur of the other nickel center are slightly longer, namely, around 2.19–2.22 Å. The Ni–N bond lengths in [3]2+ and [4]2+ are 1.929 and 1.922 Å, respectively.

In order to compare the surroundings of the four complexes in detail, the dihedral angle \( \alpha \) and hinge angle \( \beta \) are defined (see Figure 2). The dihedral angle \( \alpha \) is the torsion angle \( N-C-Ni-S \) describing the angle between the plane of the benzimidazolidene ring and the square plane of the nickel center, indicating the orientation of the carbene ligand with respect to the plane of coordination. The hinge angle \( \beta \) is the torsion angle \( Ni-S-S'-Ni' \), describing the dihedral angle between the two coordination planes of the adjacent nickel centers, indicating the bent nature of the dinuclear molecule. The dihedral angle \( \alpha \) of the four compounds reported here follows a clear trend. In compounds [1] and [2], comprising the bidentate ligands, it is apparent that the C3 spacer between the heterocyclic ring and the thiolate donor atom offers more flexibility, allowing the NHC ring to orient itself in a more orthogonal position (\( \alpha = 44^\circ \) for [1] vs 66° for [2]). The complexes [3]Br2 and [4]Br2 show much smaller dihedral angles \( \alpha \), indicating that in the tridentate ligands the presence of the third donor atom (the pendant pyridyl group) forces the NHC ring to orient itself in a more coplanar fashion with the plane of coordination. Even so, the presence of the C3 spacer in compound [4]Br2 causes a slight relaxation in the orientation of the NHC ring. In general, the NHC carbene ligand preferentially is oriented perpendicular to the plane of coordination with dihedral angles \( \alpha \) close to 90°.30,32–37 A number of exceptions have been reported for complexes bearing certain types of tridentate pincer ligands, showing dihedral angles \( \alpha \) smaller than 5°.38–40

Notably, the complex [Ni2(PyC2S)2]Br2 [3]Br2 displays not only the smallest torsion angle \( \alpha \) (10.6(5)°) but also smallest hinge angle \( \beta \) (119.54(7)°). This means that this molecule is equipped with two nearly flat “wings”, but that the overall structure is significantly bent. The crystal packing of compound [3]Br2 shows an interesting interaction between one of the bromide ions with two nearly flat dinuclear molecules: two bent dinuclear molecules form a Ni4S4 "box" encapsulating the bromide ion (see Figure 3). This bromide ion is located near the apical

Figure 1. Displacement ellipsoid plots of the cationic compounds: [1], [2], [3]2+, and [4]2+ drawn at the 50% probability level with selected atom numbering. Hydrogen atoms, noncoordinated bromide ions, and lattice solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

Figure 2. Schematic diagrams of the dihedral angle \( \alpha \) (left, shown for compound [1]) and hinge angle \( \beta \) (right, shown for compound [2]).

Figure 3. Projection of the interaction between two [3]2+ cations and one bromide ion in the crystal structure of compound [3]Br2. Different elements are distinguished by color: nickel (green), sulfur (yellow), nitrogen (blue), carbon (gray), and bromide (brown).
the solvent, suggesting that the protic solvent assists in the dissociation of the bromide ion by the formation of hydrogen bonds (Figure S1). The redox properties of the two compounds [Ni$_2$Cl$_4$(BnC$_2$S)$_2$] [1] and [Ni$_2$Cl$_4$(BnC$_3$S)$_2$] [2] were first investigated with cyclic voltammetry (CV) in dry DMF solutions containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF$_6$) as the supporting electrolyte under a stream of argon. The CV of complex [1] shows one large irreversible reductive peak at $-1.20$ V vs Ag/AgCl followed by a reversible couple at $E_{1/2} = -1.96$ V. The reductive peak current shows a linear relationship with the scan rate, indicating a diffusion-controlled process (Figure S4). In addition, the peak-to-peak separation is $88$ mV at a scan rate of $0.1$ V/s, indicating a one-electron transfer process (the peak-to-peak separation for the reference compound Fe$^{3+/2}$ is $95$ mV under these conditions). In between these reduction events, two smaller reduction peaks are present (Figure 4). The CV of complex [2] shows a similar irreversible reductive peak at $-1.28$ V vs Ag/AgCl at a slightly more negative potential than that of the C$_2$ analogue.

With the aim to study the electrocatalytic activity of these compounds in HER, subsequently, acetic acid was added into the solutions of [1] and [2]. As a result, an increase in the current with an onset potential around $-1.60$ V appeared, but in both experiments, only weak catalytic currents were observed (Figure 5).

The redox properties of the pyridyl-containing complexes [3]Br$_2$ and [4]Br$_2$ were also investigated with cyclic voltammetry. In absence of acid, complex [3]Br$_2$ showed a number of irreversible peaks with similar current intensities in the range of $-0.7$ to $-1.6$ V, followed by a more or less reversible reduction at $E_{1/2} = -1.95$ V vs Ag/AgCl (Figure S5a). The first reductive peak at $-0.9$ V becomes more reversible upon increasing scan rates (Figure 6a). The complex [4]Br$_2$ with the longer alkyl chain also shows a complicated CV (Figure S5b). Similar to complex [3]Br$_2$, the first reductive peak becomes more reversible at higher scan rates (Figure 6b). Notably, the first redox event of [3]Br$_2$ ($E_{1/2} = -0.88$ V) occurs at more positive potential than that of [4]Br$_2$ ($E_{1/2} = -0.98$ V).

The complicated irreversible CVs of complex [3]Br$_2$ and [4]Br$_2$ might be due to solvation reactions in solution, resulting in an equilibrium of dimeric and monomeric species as shown in Figure 7. The dimeric structure might partly break up in solution after which the nickel ion coordinates with a bromide ion or solvent molecule to form monomeric compounds. The dimeric species and the different monomeric species will be reduced at different potentials, resulting in the multiple reductive waves. Alternatively, two Ni(II) ions in the dinuclear structure may successively be reduced to Ni(I) and then to Ni(0) instead of simultaneously.

Upon addition of acetic acid into the DMF solutions containing complex [3]Br$_2$ or [4]Br$_2$, a totally different electrochemical response is obtained compared to the complexes [1] and [2]. Whereas for complex [1] or [2] no obvious catalytic current was observed, upon addition of increasing amounts of acetic acid into the DMF solution containing complex [3]Br$_2$, the reductive current after the onset potential of $-0.91$ V gradually increased; a large reductive current peak is observed around $-2$ V vs Ag/AgCl. The solution of complex [4]Br$_2$ showed a similar result in the presence of acetic acid with an onset potential at $-1.08$ V (Figure 8b). In both situations, with increasing concentrations of acid, the reductive current increased linearly (Figure 9). The $i_c/i_p$ ratio is $100$ for [3]Br$_2$ and $85$ for [4]Br$_2$ in the presence of $130$ mM acid, with $i_c$ being the maximum current of the catalytic peak and $i_p$ the plateau current of the noncatalytic reduction wave.

Plots of $i_c/i_p$ versus the square root of acid concentration for complexes [3]Br$_2$ and [4]Br$_2$ show a nonlinear relationship (Figure S6e). Instead, a linear relationship is found between $i_c/i_p$ versus the acid concentration (Figure 9); thus the reaction is

![Figure 4. CVs of 1 mM solutions in DMF of complex [1] (a) and [2] (b) recorded containing 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$ as supporting electrolyte at scan rate of 0.1 V/s, using a glassy carbon working electrode.](image)

![Figure 5. CVs of complexes [1] (a) and [2] (b) recorded in the presence of various equivalents of acetic acid. Solutions of 1 mM complex in DMF containing 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$ as supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s, with glassy carbon working electrode.](image)

![Figure 6. Cyclic voltammograms of [3]Br$_2$ (a) and [4]Br$_2$ (b) at different scan rates (dashed = 0.1 V/s, solid = 0.5 V/s). Compounds 1 mM in DMF containing 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$ as supporting electrolyte using a glassy carbon working electrode.](image)

![Figure 7. Proposed dimer–monomer equilibrium of [3]Br$_2$ in solution (L = N,N-dimethylformamide).](image)
second order in acid, indicating that two protons are involved in the rate-determining catalytic step. The rate constant \(k_{obs}\) of the reactions cannot be calculated using eq \(1\), or the foot-of-the-wave method, as the preceding reduction wave is not reversible. \(^{44}\)

\[
\frac{i_c}{i_p} = n \frac{RTk_{obs}}{0.4463} \frac{F}{v}
\]

By comparison of the \(i_c/i_p\) ratio, it seems that complex \([3]Br_2\) shows higher catalytic activity in HER. However, complex \([3]Br_2\) also presents a redox process at \(E_{1/2} = −1.95\ V\), which overlaps significantly with the catalytic current. Considering this redox event of the complex, the actual catalytic activity might be lower than expected from the \(i_c/i_p\) ratio.

With the aim to compare the electrocatalytic activity of the four compounds in a more quantitative way, controlled-potential coulometry (CPC) experiments were carried out. The charge consumptions over time of solutions containing just the pure acid or each of the catalysts were also recorded separately as blanks. The charge accumulation graphs show that over a period of 10 min charge consumption is negligible for the solutions containing only acid or only the nickel compounds (Figure 10). In contrast, for solutions containing both acid and one of the nickel catalysts, continuous charge consumption was recorded. Although no clear catalytic peaks were observed in the CV experiments for complex \([1]\) or \([2]\) in the presence of acid (Figure 5), relatively high charge consumptions were observed in the CPC experiments (Figure 10b). As expected, the pyridine-functionalyzed compounds \([3]Br_2\) and \([4]Br_2\) resulted in higher charge consumptions, indicating higher catalytic activities. The quantity of dihydrogen generated during the CPC experiments can be estimated from the charge consumption of solutions containing both the nickel compound and the acid after subtraction of the charge consumption of the blanks; the results are listed in Table 2.

![Figure 8](image8.png)

**Figure 8.** CVs of complexes \([3]Br\) (a) and \([4]Br\) (b) recorded in the presence of various amounts of acetic acid. Compounds 1 mM in DMF containing 0.1 M TBAPF\(_6\) as supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s, using a glassy carbon working electrode.

![Figure 9](image9.png)

**Figure 9.** Plot of \(i_c/i_p\) vs \([CH_3COOH]\) (mM) for \([3]Br_2\) (a) and \([4]Br_2\) (b). Conditions: 1 mM complex in DMF containing 0.1 M TBAPF\(_6\) as supporting electrolyte at 0.1 V/s, using a glassy carbon working electrode.

![Figure 10](image10.png)

**Figure 10.** Charge vs time plot over 600 s during CPC at a potential of −1.8 V on a glassy carbon working electrode. Black lines: 1 mM solutions of the compounds in DMF in absence of acid. Red lines: 1 mM solutions of the compounds in DMF in the presence of 50 mM acetic acid. Dotted red line: 50 mM acetic acid in DMF. (a) Dashed = \([Ni_2Cl_2(BnC_3S)_2]\) \([1]\), solid = \([Ni_2(PyC_3S)_2]Br_2\) \([3]Br_2\); (b) dashed = \([Ni_2Cl_2(BnC_3S)_2]\) \([2]\), solid = \([Ni_2(PyC_3S)_2]Br_2\) \([4]Br_2\). (assuming that all electrons are used in proton reduction).

Among the four complexes, the compound \([Ni_2(PyC_3S)_2]Br_2\) \([4]Br_2\) shows the highest catalytic activity, which is estimated to produce \(5 \times 10^{-3}\) mmol H\(_2\) gas in 10 min, in the presence of \(6 \times 10^{-3}\) mmol catalyst at a potential of −1.80 V vs Ag/AgCl.

These compounds can hardly be considered to be good catalysts for HER; even so, it is apparent that the pyridine-functionalyzed complexes \([Ni_2(PyC_3S)_2]Br_2\) \([3]Br_2\) and \([Ni_2(PyC_3S)_2]Br_2\) \([4]Br_2\) show an activity three times higher than that of their benzyl-substituted analogues. Unfortunately, the enhancement in activity is not as dramatic as was anticipated.

**■ CONCLUSION**

In summary, the synthesis and characterization are reported for the compounds \([Ni_2Cl_2(BnC_3S)_2]\) \([1]\), \([Ni_2Cl_2(BnC_3S)_2]\) \([2]\), \([Ni_2(PyC_3S)_2]Br_2\) \([3]Br_2\), and \([Ni_2(PyC_3S)_2]Br_2\) \([4]Br_2\), comprising four different thiolate-functionalized N-heterocyclic carbene ligands. CV and CPC experiments were used to investigate the redox properties and electrocatalytic activity of these complexes in proton reduction. The thiolate-functionalyzed carbene compounds \([1]\) and \([2]\) show poor catalytic activity in HER. The compounds \([3]Br_2\) and \([4]Br_2\) were designed to include pyridine groups as potential proton-accepting sites in order to improve their electrocatalytic activity. Indeed, these compounds show catalytic activity in HER better than that of their benzyl-containing analogues, but overall, the catalytic activity remains disappointingly low. Unfortunately, the limited stability of solutions of the complexes \([3]Br_2\) and \([4]Br_2\) in the presence of air and the low electrocatalytic efficiency limit their potential application as proton reduction catalysts. Understanding of the role of the thiolate and pyridine groups in the catalytic cycle still requires further research, which may help in the design of efficient biomimetic catalysts for HER. Additional studies need to be undertaken to prove the occurrence of the suggested dimer–monomer equilibrium in solution in order to investigate the influence of such an equilibrium on the electrocatalytic activity.

**■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General.** Commercial chemicals were used without further purification. Acetonitrile and diethyl ether were obtained from a PureSolv MDS solvent dispenser. Dry dimethylformamide was prepared by adding molecular sieves into commercial anhydrous solvent. The other commercial solvents were used without further purification. Air-sensitive reactions were performed under argon or...
Table 2. Estimated Amount of Dihydrogen Generated by the Four Compounds in 10 min (n_{catalyst} = 6 × 10^{-3} mmol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (H2) (mmol)</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>4 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H2 and 13C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker 300 DPX spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained using a Finnigan Aquia mass spectrometer (MS) with electrospray ionization (ESI). UV–vis spectra were obtained using a transmission dip probe using an Avantes AvaSpec-2048 spectrometer with an Aivalight-DH-S-BAL light source.

Elemental analyses were performed by the Kolbe Mikronalytisches Laboratorium, Germany. Cyclic voltammetry was recorded with an Autolab PGStat10 potentiostat controlled by GPES4 software under argon. A 3 mm diameter glassy carbon electrode was used as working electrode and a platinum wire as the counter electrode. The cyclic voltammetry was performed in dry DMF with 0.1 M TBAPF6 as the supporting electrolyte under a stream of argon at room temperature.

The pure product in a yield of 0.44 g (72%).1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 10.02 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.85 (m, 2H), 7.75–7.57 (m, 3H), 7.43–7.32 (m, 1H), 5.95 (s, 2H), 4.77 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.43 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H).13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ = 194.63, 143.30, 137.57, 126.80, 126.71, 123.74, 122.74, 113.93, 113.84, 50.87, 46.19, 30.50, 27.83. The resonances of the four quaternary carbon atoms were not detected. ESI-MS found (calcd): [M − Br]+/z= 312.1 (312.1).

**Synthesis of the Nickel Compounds.** [Ni2Cl2(BnC5S4)] [1]:

A mixture of salt C (0.390 g, 1.0 mmol), anhydrous Ni(OAc)2 (0.090 g, 0.5 mmol), and tetrabutylammonium bromide (2 g) were weighed into a 10 mL round-bottomed flask and dried for 3 h at 60 °C under vacuum. Then the temperature of the flask was increased to 120 °C and kept constant for 24 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then was triturated with TH2O (10 mL) and DCM (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, and dried on sodium sulfate. Removal of the solvent resulted in a red solid in a yield of 85 mg (24%). Single crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were obtained from a DCM solution of the complex.

1H NMR (300 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 6.73 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H), 7.47–7.01 (m, 14H), 6.68 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 2H), 5.69 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (t, J = 13.0 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (s, J = 11.8 Hz, 2H).13C NMR (75 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 174.30, 138.45, 134.95, 133.94, 128.95, 128.10, 127.97, 123.28, 123.24, 111.39, 110.07, 52.32, 49.34, 24.19. Anal. Calc’d for C34H34N4S2Ni2Cl2C4H10O: C, 55.31; H, 5.37; N, 6.79. Found: C, 55.15; H, 5.09; N, 6.54. ESI-MS found (calcd): [M − Cl]+/z= 685.3 (685.03).

[HPyC3S2Br] [2]:

Ligand precursor D (0.405 g, 1.0 mmol), anhydrous Ni(OAc)2 (0.177 g, 1.0 mmol), and tetrabutylammonium bromide (2 g) were weighed into a 10 mL round-bottomed flask and dried for 3 h at 60 °C under vacuum. Then the temperature was increased to 120 °C and kept constant for 24 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then was triturated with TH2O (10 mL) and DCM (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, and dried on sodium sulfate. Removal of the solvent resulted in a red solid in a yield of 85 mg (24%). Single crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were obtained from a DCM solution of the complex.

1H NMR (300 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 6.73 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H), 7.47–7.01 (m, 14H), 6.68 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 2H), 5.69 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (t, J = 13.0 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (s, J = 11.8 Hz, 2H).13C NMR (75 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 174.30, 138.45, 134.95, 133.94, 128.95, 128.10, 127.97, 123.28, 123.24, 111.39, 110.07, 52.32, 49.34, 24.19. Anal. Calc’d for C34H34N4S2Ni2Cl2C4H10O: C, 55.31; H, 5.09; N, 6.54. ESI-MS found (calcd): [M − Cl]+/z= 685.3 (685.03).
bromide (1.5 g) were weighed into a 10 mL round-bottom flask and dried for 3 h at 80 °C under vacuum. Then the temperature was increased to 120 °C and kept for 48 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then was triturated with H2O (10 mL) resulting in a red solid. The solid was washed with a small amount of DCM and dried in vacuum, giving a yield of 75 mg of a red solid (18%). Crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were obtained by slow evaporation of a degassed methanol solution under a flow of argon. 1H NMR showed broad signals. 1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ = 9.09, 8.13, 8.00, 7.91, 7.75 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.57, 7.43, 6.16, 5.43, 2.13. Anal. Calcd for C82H43Ni2S2Br2: C, 42.85; H, 3.72; N, 9.99. Found: C, 42.55; H, 3.40; N, 9.84. ESI-MS found (calcld): [M = 2Br]− m/z 340.0 (340.04); [M − Br]− m/z 759.0 (759.00).
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